

- SPOTILA, J.R., FOLEY, R.E., AND STANDORA, E.A. 1990. Thermoregulation and climate space of the slider turtle. In: Gibbons, J.W. (Ed.). *Life History and Ecology of the Slider Turtle*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, pp. 288–298.
- STRAYER, D.L. 2010. Alien species in fresh waters: ecological effects, interactions with other stressors, and prospects for the future. *Freshwater Biology* 55(Suppl 1):152–174.
- STRAYER, D.L., EVINER, V.T., JESCHKE, J.M., AND PACE, M.L. 2006. Understanding the long-term effects of species invasions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 21:11.
- WALKER, B. AND STEFFEN, W. 1997. An overview of the implications of global change for natural and managed terrestrial ecosystems. *Conservation Ecology* 1(2):2. www.consecol.org/vol1/iss2/art2.
- WHITE, G. AND GARROT, R. 1990. *Analysis of Wildlife Radio-Tracking Data*. New York: Academic Press, 383 pp.

Received: 12 July 2012

Revised and Accepted: 14 March 2013

Handling Editor: Peter V. Lindeman

Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 2013, 12(2): 319–323
© 2013 Chelonian Research Foundation

Notes on a Nest and Emergence of Hatchlings of the Euphrates Softshell Turtle (*Rafetus euphraticus*) at the Dez River, Iran

HANYEH GHAFFARI^{1,*}, MICHAEL V. PLUMMER², MAHMOOD KARAMI¹, BARBOD SAFAEI MAHROO¹, FARAHAM AHMADZADEH³, AND DENNIS RÖDDER⁴

¹Department of Environmental Science, Graduate School of the Environment and Energy, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

[ghaffari.hanyeh@gmail.com; mkarami@ut.ac.ir; barbodsafaei@gmail.com];

²Department of Biology, Harding University, Searcy, Arkansas 72149 USA [plummer@harding.edu];

³Herpetology Section, Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig (ZFMK), Adenauerallee 160, D-53113 Bonn, Germany [F_Ahmadzade@sbu.ac.ir] and Department of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Management, Environmental Sciences Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University, G.C., Evin, Tehran, Iran;

⁴Herpetology Section, Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig (ZFMK), Adenauerallee 160, D-53113 Bonn, Germany [d.roedder.zfmk@uni-bonn.de]

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT. – We report on a Euphrates softshell turtle (*Rafetus euphraticus*) nest and hatchlings that emerged from the nest that was constructed in a sand patch of the Dez River in southwestern Iran and discovered on 8 July 2012. Information on nest location and structure and hatchling morphology is presented.

Freshwater turtles are long-lived animals characterized by low mortality in adults but often high mortality in

embryonic and hatchling stages (Cagle 1950; Gibbons 1968; Moll and Legler 1971; Wilbur 1975). An important source of mortality for hatchlings is emergence and dispersal from the nest, which often entails high risk because of the increased exposure to predators, temperature extremes, and desiccation while the hatchlings find their way to water (Plummer 2007). Most of our knowledge regarding emergence of hatchling turtles comes from the many studies on sea turtles (e.g., Dial 1987; Witherington et al. 1990; Hays et al. 1992; Gyuris 1993; Godfrey and Mrosovsky 1997), while emergence behavior of freshwater turtle hatchlings is less well known (Doody 1995; Kuchling 1999; Doody et al. 2001; Butler et al. 2004; Plummer 2007).

The Euphrates softshell turtle (*Rafetus euphraticus* Daudin 1802) is a medium-sized trionychid turtle with a geographic range confined to the Euphrates and Tigris basins of Turkey, Syria, Iraq, and Iran (Taşkavak and Atatür 1995, 1998; Ghaffari et al. 2008; Biricik and Turğa 2011). The range of *R. euphraticus* in Iran is limited to Khuzestan Province. It is one of the biologically least known trionychid species and is probably the most threatened freshwater turtle in Iran (Ghaffari et al. 2008).

The reproductive biology of the Euphrates softshell turtle is poorly known (Biricik and Turğa 2011), and there is no information on the species concerning hatchling morphology or emergence from natural nests in Iran. This article provides data on the characteristics of a Euphrates softshell turtle nest, hatchling emergence, and hatchling morphology from the Dez River in Khuzestan Province, Iran.

Study Area. — The Dez River flows southward from the mountain regions north of the cities of Dezful and Andimeshk onto the Khuzestan plain. The river has alluvial soil and sandy banks that provide suitable nesting habitat for *R. euphraticus* (Ghaffari et al. 2008). The vegetation along the banks and sandbars of the Dez River consists of *Tamarix* sp., *Populus euphratica*, *Lycium shawii*, *Vitex pseudonegundo*, *Salix* sp., *Capparis spinosa*, *Prosopis stephaniana*, and *Calotropis procera*. The climate of Khuzestan is generally hot and humid (Ghaffari et al. 2008). From 2005 to 2010, the mean ambient temperature at the Dezful station was 34°C in June and 36°C in July (Anonymous 2012).

Methods. — During the *R. euphraticus* breeding season in June and July, we conducted field surveys for possible nests on sandbars in the counties of Dezful and Andimeshk, Khuzestan Province. After the single nest described in this article was found, we centered a 4-m² plot on the nesting site. Within this area, we counted and measured the number of tree stems per square meter, the number of tree species, the number of shrub species, tree height, and distance to nearest tree within the plot. Tree and shrub height were ranked into 4 categories (< 0.5 m, 0.5–2 m, 2–5 m, and > 5 m). The distance between the river and the nest and nest dimensions were measured



Figure 1. Euphrates softshell turtle nest location (left) and close-up of nest chamber (right) at the Dez River, Khuzestan, Iran. Photo by Hanyeh Ghaffari.

with a tape and metal ruler. Soil temperature was determined with a mercury laboratory thermometer. Soil samples were collected at depths of 0–20, 20–40, and 40–60 cm from the closest point to the nest chamber and a randomized location around the nesting site. The soil samples were weighed on a digital scale to the nearest 0.01 g. We used a serial of test sieves comprising Nos. 0.2, 1.5, 1, 3.4, 3.8, 3.16, 8, 16, 30, 50, 100, and 200 with 50–0.075-mm mesh sizes for grain size determination.

Immediately after hatchling emergence from the nest, we measured their morphometric characteristics with digital calipers to the nearest 0.01 mm and weighed hatchlings with a digital scale to 0.01 g. While measuring hatchlings, we followed the morphometric protocol described by Taşkavak (1998). After measurement, hatchlings were released into the river at the point nearest the nest.

Results. — On 8 July 2012, a nest (Fig. 1) was discovered while Euphrates softshell hatchlings were emerging on a sandbar 1.20 km from the village of Zavieh Mash Ali, Dezful County, in the vicinity of the Dez River.




Figure 2. Location of nest chamber toward vegetation cover and river in a 4-m² plot.

In the nest site area, the dominant vegetation included *Typha domingensis*, *Populus euphratica*, *Tamarix* sp., *Ziziphus spinachriti*, and *Vitex pseudonegundo*. The river near the nest site was 4–5 m wide and 70 cm deep; at 10 m upstream from the nest, the river was 2–3 m wide and 20 cm deep; at 10 m downstream from the nest, the river was 8–10 m wide and 100 cm deep. The water current was slow and flowed southward.

The nest was situated in a sand patch; there were no rocks, gravel, or stones at the nest site, although most parts of both sides of the river contained gravel and rubble. It was situated under tamarisks (*Tamarix* sp.) and a nabk tree (*Z. spinachriti*). Canopy cover directly over the nest chamber was 90%. Vegetation cover density in the 4-m² plot centered on the nest location was 44% (Fig. 2). The total number of woody stems with a diameter of ≥ 4 cm within the plot was 12, and the height of tallest woody stem was 5 m. Sixty percent of stems were 2–5 m tall, and 40% were 0.5–2 m tall. The distance to the nearest tree stem was 30 cm. The direct distance from the nest to the river was 2.3 m, and the height of nest site to the water level was 90 cm (Fig. 3). The nest was 40 cm above the most recent high-water mark.

The surface soil temperature in the vicinity of nest site was 49°C. The nesting soil was composed of silt (77%) and sand (23%) with a density of 1.47 g/cm³. Grain size was smaller than 0.6 mm.

Thirty-six Euphrates softshell hatchlings emerged on the day of nest discovery at 1730 hrs (Fig. 4). The mean time between emergence of the first and last hatchlings was 25 min. One unhatched egg remained in the nest and was infertile (total clutch size = 37).

The hatchling carapace is oval with tubercles arranged in longitudinal rows. Tubercles are absent on the marginal parts of carapace. The ground color of the carapace is olive gray with scattered light spots and black blotches. The light spots on the sides of the neck are distinctly larger than the spots on the head and carapace. The plastron is sandy gray to sandy yellow colored. The mean carapace length (\pm SD) for 6 hatchlings was 41.5 \pm 1.4 mm (range 39.3–43.5 mm),




Figure 3. Dimensions of a *Rafetus euphraticus* nest at the Dez River, Iran (illustration by Mina Aghvami).

and the mean mass was 10.8 ± 0.64 g (range 9.61–11.47 g). Additional morphological features of the 6 hatchlings are given in Table 1.

Discussion. — Little is known about the reproduction of the Euphrates softshell turtle. Oviposition has previously been reported to occur toward the end of May to early June (Griehl 1981; Gramentz 1991). Ghaffari et al. (2008) observed egg laying on 2 June 2005 in Iran. Based on the observation of several small juveniles on 15 September 1988 (probably posthatching) at Habes Creek, a tributary of the Euphrates River in Turkey, Taşkavak and Atatür (1998) claimed that oviposition occurs during the spring months. Biricik and Turğa (2011) described a single nest from the Tigris River in southeastern Anatolia discovered on 17 June 2009. Emergence behavior of *R. euphraticus* hatchlings in a natural habitat is also poorly known. Our study shows that *R. euphraticus* hatchlings can emerge from their nest in early July; however, because our sample consisted of only 1 nest, we do not know what the range of emergence dates might be.

Reported distances to water for 4 *R. euphraticus* nests are all less than 5 m (Taşkavak and Atatür 1998; Biricik and Turğa 2011; present study). For 416 *Apalone* softshell nests from 7 localities, distance from nest to water ranged from 5 to 260 m (mean = 66 m; Steen et al. 2012). Variability in the distance of nests to water for sandbar-nesting softshell turtles could be partially due to differences in maximum water level or differences in the slope of the sandbar between the nest and water (Plummer 1976; Doody 1995; Steen et al. 2012).

The nesting substrate of our nest was mostly similar to that reported by Biricik and Turğa (2011). The main difference was that our sample consisted of 77% silt, whereas the Biricik and Turğa (2011) sample consisted of pure sand. The chamber depth of the Dez River nest compared favorably with that of 2 nests reported by Taşkavak and Atatür (1998).

Nest site choice and nest construction often play biologically significant roles in the reproductive fitness of organisms, including turtles (Scholz 2006). Likewise, because emergence from the nest may entail high risks for hatchling freshwater turtles, timing of emergence may also be an important fitness issue. For both sea turtles and freshwater turtles, emergence time for species that nest in vegetated areas occurs typically during the daylight hours, whereas emergence for beach and sandbar nesting species typically occurs during nighttime hours (Doody et al. 2001; Plummer 2007). The Dez River *Rafetus* nest was situated in a vegetated area, and emergence occurred during daylight hours, which is consistent with previous emergence studies (sunset on 8 July 2012 was 2021 in Dezful city; thus, *R. euphraticus* hatchlings emerged 3 hrs before sunset).

The Dez River surface in the vicinity of nest site experienced extreme heat (49°C) at the time of hatchling emergence. Because vegetation cover surrounding a nest typically lowers the nest temperature (Kolbe and Janzen 2002; St. Juliana et al. 2004), nesting within vegetation could affect egg and hatchling survival of *R. euphraticus*. In contrast, the softshell turtle *Apalone mutica* avoids vegetation in the vicinity of its nest and constructs nests





Figure 4. A hatchling Euphrates softshell turtle from the Dez River: head (top), carapace (middle), and plastron (bottom). Photographs by Barbod Safaei Mahroo.

only in clean sand on open sandbars (Fitch and Plummer 1975; Plummer 1976; Plummer et al. 1994; Doody 1995). Eggs of *A. mutica* do not develop above constant temperatures of 33°C, and although the topmost eggs in a nest occasionally experience brief periods of ~ 40°C (Plummer et al. 1994), emergence occurs only when surface temperatures are < 36°C (Plummer 2007).

Table 1. Morphometric measurements (mm) of 6 hatchlings.

Measured character ^a	Hatchlings					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
CL	41.67	40.67	43.52	39.31	41.85	41.72
CW	36.34	36.98	38.83	34.59	37.11	36.99
PL	31.92	30.99	32.03	30.37	33.62	31.20
PW	28.29	33.39	36.28	31.46	34.79	32.48
TL	5.78	4.91	6.09	5.30	5.99	6.80
RL	7.05	7.75	6.73	6.86	7.47	6.09
SL	2.56	2.02	3.06	2.44	2.68	2.59
SW	1.95	2.20	2.05	2.45	2.40	2.70
ID	6.35	5.45	5.90	5.14	5.08	5.54
HW	10.39	10.17	09.96	10.26	10.47	10.71
W	10.98	10.94	11.47	9.61	10.70	11.19

^a Abbreviations: plastron length (PL), plastron width (PW), carapace length (CL), carapace width (CW), tail length (TL), head width (HW), interorbital distance (ID), snout width (SW), snout length (SL), rostrum length (RL), all in mm; weight (W) in g. Definitions as per Taşkavak (1998).

Freshwater turtle populations and assemblages are influenced by anthropogenic changes at the landscape level (e.g., Rizkalla and Swihart 2006; Sterrett et al. 2011; Steen et al. 2012). In Khuzestan Province, industrial development has resulted in ever-increasing pollution of water sources (Jafarzadeh et al. 2004). In the Dez River basin, 87,716 mt of organic and 93,380 mt of inorganic loads from industries are discharged annually (Ghaffari et al. 2008). Anthropogenic loss of nesting habitat is a major threat in both the Euphrates River and the Tigris River basin especially because of inundation of nesting habitat resulting from dam construction (Taşkavak and Atatür 1998). Other threats to the survival of *R. euphraticus* in Khuzestan Province include fisheries interactions (intentional killing) and physical and chemical habitat destruction resulting from the Iran–Iraq War (Ghaffari et al. 2008).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This note is a portion of the ongoing PhD dissertation of Hanyeh Ghaffari. We appreciate the kind help of local people in the study area: Sed Mehdi Alavi, Amir Eslami, and the Shanbool brothers (Mirza, Majid, and Amin). We are particularly indebted to Farhad Ghaffari for his help during data collection. We are additionally grateful to Dr Mohammad Masoud Matin for his assistance with analyzing the soil samples at Azhand Khak laboratory. Special thanks to Laleh Daraie, Mina Aghvami, Sahand Vahedi, and Maryam Karkeabadi.

LITERATURE CITED

- ANONYMOUS. 2012. Islamic Republic of Iran Meteorological Organization. www.weather.ir (1 October 2012).
- BIRICK, M. AND TURĀ, S. 2011. Description of an Euphrates softshell turtle (*Rafetus euphraticus*) nest from the Tigris River (SE Turkey). *Salamandra* 47:99–102.
- BUTLER, J.A., BROADHURST, C., GREEN, M., AND MULLIN, Z. 2004. Nesting, nest predation and hatchling emergence of the

- Carolina diamondback terrapin, *Malaclemys terrapin centrata*, in northeastern Florida. *American Midland Naturalist* 152:145–155.
- CAGLE, F.R. 1950. The life history of the slider turtle, *Pseudemys scripta troostii* (Holbrook). *Ecological Monographs* 20:31–54.
- DIAL, B.E. 1987. Energetics and performance during nest emergence and the hatchling frenzy in loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*). *Herpetologica* 43:307–315.
- DOODY, J.S. 1995. A comparative nesting study of two syntopic species of softshell turtles (*Apalone mutica* and *Apalone spinifera*) in southcentral Louisiana. MS Thesis, Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.
- DOODY, J.S., GEORGES, A., YOUNG, J.E., PAUZA, M.D., PEPPER, A.L., ALDERMAN, R.L., AND WELSH, M.A. 2001. Embryonic aestivation and emergence behavior in the pig-nosed turtle, *Carettochelys insculpta*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 79:1062–1072.
- FITCH, H.S. AND PLUMMER, M.V. 1975. A preliminary ecological study of the soft-shelled turtle, *Trionyx muticus*, in the Kansas River. *Israel Journal of Zoology* 24:1–15.
- GHAFFARI, H., TAŞKAVAK, E., AND KARAMI, M. 2008. Conservation status of the Euphrates softshell turtle, *Rafetus euphraticus*, in Iran. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 7:223–229.
- GIBBONS, J.W. 1968. Population structure and survivorship in the painted turtle, *Chrysemys picta*. *Copeia* 1968:260–268.
- GODFREY, M.H. AND MROSOVSKY, N. 1997. Estimating the time between hatching of sea turtles and their emergence from the nest. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 2:581–585.
- GRAMENTZ, D. 1991. Beobachtungen an der Euphrat-Weichschildkröte *Trionyx euphraticus* (Daudin, 1802) in Ost-Anatolien. *Salamandra* 27:1–16.
- GRIEHL, K. 1981. Reptilien in Anatolien. *Sielmanns Tierwelt, Hamburg* 5:24–29.
- GYURIS, E. 1993. Factors that control the emergence of green turtle hatchlings from the nest. *Wildlife Research* 20:345–353.
- HAYS, G.C., SPEAKMAN, J.R., AND HAYES, J.P. 1992. The pattern of emergence by loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) hatchlings on Cephalonia, Greece. *Herpetologica* 48:396–401.
- JAFARZADEH, N., ROSTAMI, S., SEPEHRFAR, K., AND LAHIJANZADEH, A. 2004. Identification of the water pollutant industries in Khuzestan Province. *Iranian Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering* 1:36–42.
- KOLBE, J.J. AND JANZEN, F.J. 2002. Impact of nest-site selection on nest success and nest temperature in natural and disturbed habitats. *Ecology* 83:269–281.
- KUCHLING, G. 1999. *The Reproductive Biology of the Chelonia*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 223 pp.
- MOLL, E.O. AND LEGLER, J.M. 1971. The life history of a Neotropical slider turtle, *Pseudemys scripta* (Schöepff), in Panama. *Bulletin of the Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History* 11:1–102.
- PLUMMER, M.V. 1976. Some aspects of nesting success in the turtle, *Trionyx muticus*. *Herpetologica* 32:353–359.
- PLUMMER, M.V. 2007. Nest emergence of smooth softshell turtle (*Apalone mutica*) hatchlings. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 2:61–64.
- PLUMMER, M.V., SHADRIX, C.E., AND COX, R.C. 1994. Thermal limits of incubation in embryos of softshell turtles (*Apalone mutica*). *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 1:141–144.
- RIZKALLA, C.E. AND SWIHART, R.K. 2006. Community structure and differential responses of aquatic turtles to agriculturally induced habitat fragmentation. *Landscape Ecology* 21:1361–1375.
- SCHOLZ, L.A. 2006. Impacts of nest site choice and nest characteristics on hatchling success in the diamondback terrapins of Jamaica Bay, New York. MS Thesis, Hofstra University, Hempstead, NY.
- ST. JULIANA, J.R., BOWDEN, R.M., AND JANZEN, F.J. 2004. The impact of behavioral and physiological maternal effects on offspring sex ratio in the common snapping turtle, *Chelydra serpentina*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 56:270–278.
- STEEN, D.A., GIBBS, J.P., BUHLMANN, K.A., CARR, J.L., COMPTON, B.W., CONGDON, J.D., DOODY, J.S., GODWIN, J.C., HOLCOMB, K.L., JACKSON, D.R., JANZEN, F.J., JOHNSON, G., JONES, M.T., LAMER, J.T., LANGEN, T.A., PLUMMER, M.V., ROWE, J.W., SAUMURE, R.A., TUCKER, J.K., AND WILSON, D.S. 2012. Terrestrial habitat requirements of nesting freshwater turtles. *Biological Conservation* 150:121–128.
- STERRETT, S.C., SMITH, L.L., GOLLADAY, S.W., SCHWEITZER, S.H., AND MAERZ, J.C. 2011. The conservation implications of riparian land use on river turtles. *Animal Conservation* 14:38–46.
- TAŞKAVAK, E. 1998. Comparative morphology of the Euphrates soft-shelled turtle, *Rafetus euphraticus* (Daudin, 1802; Reptilia, Testudines) in southeastern Anatolia. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 19:281–291.
- TAŞKAVAK, E. AND ATATÜR, M.K. 1995. Threats to survival of Euphrates soft-shelled turtle (*Rafetus euphraticus*; Daudin, 1802) in southeastern Anatolia. In: Smith, S.S. and Smith, S.S. (Eds.). *International Congress of Chelonian Conservation Proceedings*, 6–10 July 1995, France, pp. 141–145.
- TAŞKAVAK, E. AND ATATÜR, M.K. 1998. Distribution and habitats of the Euphrates softshell turtle, *Rafetus euphraticus*, in southeastern Anatolia, Turkey, with observations on biology and factors endangering its survival. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 3:20–30.
- WITHERINGTON, B.E., BJORN DAL, K.A., AND MCCABE, C.M. 1990. Temporal pattern of nocturnal emergence of loggerhead turtle hatchlings from natural nests. *Copeia* 1990:1165–1168.
- WILBUR, H.M. 1975. The evolutionary and mathematical demography of the turtle, *Chrysemys picta*. *Ecology* 56:64–77.

Received: 5 October 2012

Revised and Accepted: 7 January 2013

Handling Editor: Peter V. Lindeman